UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| | Plaintiffs, v. FON C. BATT, et al., Defendants. |))))) | CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-10355-NG |
|-------|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | EFERENCE FOR SPUTE RESOLUTION |
| | | TO JUDGE | E GERTNER |
| [] | The above-entitled case was reported settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR. | | |
| [X] | On <u>March 22, 2007, and then by telephone on March 23, 2007</u> , I held the following ADR proceeding: | | |
| | SCREENING CO | ONFERENCE | EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION |
| | X MEDIATION | | SUMMARY BENCH/JURY TRIAL |
| | Mini-Trial | | SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE |
| | All parties were represented by counsel, and were present in person or by authorized corporate officer. | | |
| | The case was: | | |
| [X] | Settled. The parties will file a motion for approval of settlement within 60 days. | | |
| [] | There was progress. A further conference has been scheduled for, unless the case is reported settled prior to that date. | | |
| [] | Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list. | | |
| [] | Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement: | | |
| | | | |

DATED: March 23, 2007

/ s / Judith Gail Dein
Judith Gail Dein, U.S. Magistrate Judge
ADR Provider